Comparison of commonly used methods for combining multiple phylogenetic data sets

Anne Kupczok, Heiko A. Schmidt and Arndt von Haeseler

Center for Integrative Bioinformatics Vienna Max F. Perutz Laboratories

SMBE, June, 2009

Motivation

Phylogeny reconstruction scheme



Motivation

Phylogeny reconstruction scheme



Multi-Locus Datasets



Multi-Locus Datasets



Multi-Locus Datasets



Approaches:



Methods

Early-level combination

Early-level combination: Superalignment (Supermatrix)

• Combination by concatenating data sets:



- Any tree reconstruction method can be applied to the large alignment
- here: Maximum Likelihood with IQPNNI (Vinh and von Haeseler, 2004)

Methods

Late-level combination

Late-level combination: Supertree

Construct separate trees for each gene and combine them to a supertree:



Methods

Late-level combination

Late-level combination: Supertree

Construct separate trees for each gene and combine them to a supertree:



Supertree methods combine different kinds of information from the gene trees:

Split information \rightarrow Matrix Representation:

- MR with Parsimony (MRP, Baum, 1992; Ragan, 1992)
- MR with Flipping (MRF, e.g. Chen et al., 2003)

Methods

Late-level combination

Late-level combination: Supertree

Construct separate trees for each gene and combine them to a supertree:



Supertree methods combine different kinds of information from the gene trees:

Triplet information \rightarrow **Rooted triplets**:

- MinCut (Semple and Steel, 2000)
- Modified MinCut (Page, 2002)
- MaxCut (Snir and Rao, 2006)

Methods

Medium-level combination

Medium-level combination

Intermediate data (not final trees) are computed from every gene alignment and subsequently combined to a tree.



SuperQP: Combination of quartet likelihoods (Schmidt, 2003)

Methods

Medium-level combination

Medium-level combination

Intermediate data (not final trees) are computed from every gene alignment and subsequently combined to a tree.



Average Consensus: Average over distance matrix for each gene (Lapointe and Cucumel, 1997) Super Distance Matrix (SDM): Additional weights estimated (Criscuolo et al., 2006)

Simulation setting

Isstimate an ML tree with branch lengths and model parameters from a data superalignment → species tree



Simulation setting

- Estimate an ML tree with branch lengths and model parameters from a data superalignment → species tree
- ② Generate gene trees



Simulation setting

- Estimate an ML tree with branch lengths and model parameters from a data superalignment → species tree
- Ø Generate gene trees
- Simulate alignments along the gene trees



Simulation setting

- Estimate an ML tree with branch lengths and model parameters from a data superalignment → species tree
- Ø Generate gene trees
- Simulate alignments along the gene trees
- Apply the reconstruction methods to each data set and compare the result with the model tree



Simulation

Species tree

10 genes of 25 Crocodylia species (Gatesy et al., 2004)



Results

Complete and missing data

Complete and missing data

Step 2: Gene trees are the complete model tree (complete data) or the pruned model tree (missing data)

Step 3: Simulation with the parameters estimated from the superalignment







Complete and missing data



Results

Incomplete lineage sorting

Incomplete lineage sorting

Step 2: For every simulation, a gene tree is generated from the species tree with a coalescent process $(\theta = 0.005, \text{ changes} \approx 22 \% \text{ of the branches})$

Step 3: Simulation with the parameters estimated from the superalignment







Incomplete lineage sorting



Summary

Summary

- Simulation of sequence-based phylogenetic analysis for multiple data sets
- With the assumption of congruent gene trees, superalignment yields the highest accuracy
- In case of high incongruency among (true) gene trees other methods may outperform superalignment
- Matrix representation methods are the best choice for supertree reconstruction

Summary

Summary

- Simulation of sequence-based phylogenetic analysis for multiple data sets
- With the assumption of congruent gene trees, superalignment yields the highest accuracy
- In case of high incongruency among (true) gene trees other methods may outperform superalignment
- Matrix representation methods are the best choice for supertree reconstruction

Acknowledgements:

Gregory Ewing
W W T F for funding

